arXiv:1701.02404v1 [math.CV] 10 Jan 2017

Skoda's Ideal Generation from Vanishing Theorem for Semipositive Nakano Curvature and Cauchy-Riemann Inequality for Tensors

Dedicated to Lawrence Ein

Yum-Tong Siu

Since the nineteen sixties the Bochner-Kodaira technique of completion of squares, for manifolds either compact or with pseudoconvex or pseudoconcave boundary condition, has been a most important tool in algebraic and complex geometry. Sometimes ingenious *ad hoc* adaptations are required in its use. A remarkable example is the 1972 result of Skoda on ideal generation [Skoda1972, pp.555-556, Théorème 1], which is a crucial ingredient in the analytic approach to the finite generation of the canonical ring and the abundance conjecture. Up to this point, special analytic techniques developed by Skoda, other than applications of the usual vanishing theorems and L^2 estimates for the $\bar\partial$ equation, are required for its proof.

In recent years analytic results from vanishing theorems and solvability of $\bar\partial$ equation have contributed to the solution of a number of longstanding open problems in algebraic geometry. The interaction between algebraic geometry and analytic methods in several complex variables and partial differential equations has been a very active and productive area of investigation. For such an interaction it is advantageous to minimize the use of *ad hoc* analytic methods in favor of approaches which are more amenable to adaptation to formulations in algebraic geometry.

In this note we give a simpler, more straightforward proof of Skoda's result on ideal generation which makes it a natural consequence of the standard techniques in vanishing theorems and solving $\bar\partial$-equation with L^2 estimates. Our proof readily gives other similar results on ideal generation. In §6 we present a number of such similar results. Our proof uses the following three ingredients.

(I) The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for tensors

$$\big|\langle \mathbf{S},\, \mathbf{T}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r\otimes\mathbb{C}^n}\big|^2 \le \min(r,n)\,\|\langle \mathbf{S},\, \mathbf{T}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}\|^2_{\mathbb{C}^r\otimes\mathbb{C}^r}$$

for elements $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbb{C}^r\otimes\mathbb{C}^n$. Detailed statement and proof will be given in §2. The usual Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is the special case of $n = 1$.

Our Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for tensors is needed in order to handle the curvature operator condition for nonnegative Nakano curvature. Just like the usual Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it is derived by reduction to certain special orthonormal situation. Our Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for tensors should be a simple case of a more general theory of inequalities of Cauchy-Schwarz type for general tensors (obtained by reduction to orthonormal situations in Young tableau). In §7 where the manipulations in computation between our proof and Skoda's original proof are compared, one such inequality of Cauchy-Schwarz type for more general tensors is given.

(II) Nonnegativity of the Nakano curvature of the metric for the kernel bundle of $(g_1, \cdots, g_p) : (\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p} \to \mathcal{O}_\Omega$ which is induced from the standard flat metric of $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ and twisted by the weight function $\left(\sum_{j=1}^q |g_j|^2\right)^{-q}$, where Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $q = \min(n, p-1)$. This is verified by a simple straightforward computation in normal final coordinates at the point under consideration and the use of the above Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for tensors. Detailed statement and proof will be given in §3.

(III) Vanishing theorem (from solving a $\bar\partial$-equation with L^2 estimate) for a holomorphic vector bundle V with nonnegative Nakano curvature Θ on a strictly pseudoconvex domain Ω in an ambient complex manifold for smooth $\bar\partial$-closed V-valued $(0,1)$-form F with finite $(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)}$, where Θ^{-1} is defined as the limit, as the positive number ε approaches 0, of the inverse of $\Theta + \varepsilon I$ with I being the identity operator. Detailed statement and proof will be given in §4. Usually application of the technique of vanishing theorems to produce holomorphic sections indispensably requires strict positivity of curvature at least at some point. The special feature of the application here of the vanishing theorem from solving a $\bar\partial$-equation with L^2 estimate requires only semipositive Nakano curvature and *no strict positivity at any point*. The difficulty of producing holomorphic sections by solving $\bar\partial$-equation with L^2 estimate without positivity of curvature at any point is that it is not possible to get a useable right-hand side for the $\bar\partial$ equation by applying $\bar\partial$ to the product of a cut-off function and a local holomorphic section. For the problem of ideal generation, the nonnegative curvature condition is sufficient for the application, because there is a natural useable choice for the right-hand side of the $\bar\partial$ equation. Another known result requiring only nonnegative curvature condition is the extension result of Ohsawa-Takegoshi. Applications

to produce holomorphic sections from only nonnegative curvature condition remain mostly an area yet to be explored.

Notations. The set of all positive integers is denoted by $\mathbb{N}$. We will use the notation $\mathcal{O}_X$ to denote the structure sheaf of X. To minimize the use of notations we will loosely refer to the locally free sheaf associated to a holomorphic vector bundle simply as a holomorphic vector bundle and vice versa. For example, we use the notation $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})^{\oplus p}$ to denote both the globally trivial vector bundle of rank p over $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the direct sum of p copies of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We use $\Gamma(Y, W)$ (or $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F})$) to denote the space of holomorphic sections of a bundle W (or a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$) over Y.

For a holomorphic vector bundle V of rank r with a metric $H_{j\bar{k}}$ over a complex manifold X of complex dimension n with a Kähler metric $g_{\lambda\bar{\nu}}$, we will use the Latin letters j, k, i, ℓ etc. for the indices of the fiber coordinates of V and use the Greek letters λ, ν, μ, ρ etc. for the local coordinates $z^1, \cdots, z^n$ of X. We use $g^{\bar{\nu}\lambda}$ to mean the inverse of $g_{\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ and use $H^{\bar{k}j}$ to mean the inverse of $g_{\lambda\bar{\nu}}$. Unless there is a possible confusion, without explicit mention we will use $H^{\bar{k}j}$ and $g^{\bar{\nu}\lambda}$ to raise the subscripts of tensors and use $H_{j\bar{k}}$ and $g_{\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ to lower the superscripts of tensors. The notation T_X denotes the (holomorphic) tangent bundle of X. The notation K_X denotes the canonical line bundle of X.

For a holomorphic subbundle W of V, when we use the metric of W which is induced from the metric $H_{j\bar{k}}$ of V, we simply say the metric $H_{j\bar{k}}$ of W instead of introducing a new notation.

When we refer to a positive $(1,1)$-form $\sqrt{-1}\sum_{1\leq\lambda,\nu\leq n}\eta_{\lambda\bar{\nu}}dz^\lambda\wedge d\overline{z^\nu}$, we will drop the factor $\sqrt{-1}$ and simply say that the $(1,1)$-form $\eta_{\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ is positive.

The notation ∂_λ means $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\lambda}$ and the notation $\partial_{\bar{\lambda}}$ means $\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z^\lambda}}$. The notation $(\cdot,\,\cdot)$ or $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle$ means the inner product and the notation $\|\cdot\|$ means the norm, sometimes with a subscript to indicate in which space the inner product or the norm is taken.

The study of vanishing theorems and L^2 estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ equation has a very long history and a very extensive literature. To avoid a long bibliography which nowadays can easily be fetched from readily available online searchable databases, we keep to a minimum the listing of references at the end of this note.

§1. Algebraic Formulation and Application of Skoda's Ideal Generation

Though this is not part of our proof of Skoda's result on ideal generation, to draw attention to its use in algebraic geometric problems, we give here the algebraic geometric formulation of Skoda's result and how it is used in the analytic approach to the finite generation of the canonical ring before we present our proof.

We state in the following way a trivially more general form of Skoda's result than is given in [Skoda1972, pp.555-556, Théorème 1] by using a Stein domain spread over $\mathbb{C}^n$ instead of a Stein domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, in order to be able to formulate it in an algebraic geometric setting.

(1.1) *Skoda's Theorem on Ideal Generation.* Let Ω be a domain spread over $\mathbb{C}^n$ which is Stein. Let ψ be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω, $g_1, \ldots, g_p$ be holomorphic functions on Ω (not all identically zero), $\alpha > 1$, $q = \min(n, p-1)$, and $|g|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p |g_j|^2$. Then for any holomorphic function f on Ω with

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|f|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(\alpha q+1)}} < \infty,$$

there exist holomorphic functions $h_1, \ldots, h_p$ on Ω with $f = \sum_{j=1}^p h_j g_j$ on Ω such that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\sum_{k=1}^p |h_k|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2\alpha q}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \int_\Omega \frac{|f|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(\alpha q+1)}}$$

holds.

The following algebraic geometric formulation of Skoda's result is easily obtained by representing the compact complex algebraic manifold X of complex dimension n minus an some complex hypersurface as a Stein domain over $\mathbb{C}$ and considering a holomorphic line bundle over X minus some complex hypersurface as globally trivial by division by a meromorphic section of it.

(1.2) *Theorem (Algebraic Geometric Formulation of on Ideal Generation).* Let X be a compact complex algebraic manifold of complex dimension n and L and E be respectively holomorphic line bundles on X with (possibly

singular) Hermitian metrics $e^{-\varphi_L}$ and $e^{-\varphi_E}$ such that φ_L and φ_E are plurisubharmonic. Let $s_1, \cdots, s_p \in \Gamma(X, L)$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and $q = \max(n, p-1)$. Then for any $s \in \Gamma(X, (q+2)L + E + K_X)$ with

$$C_s := \int_X \frac{|s|^2 e^{-(1-\gamma)\varphi_L - \varphi_E}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^p |g_j|^2\right)^{q+1+\gamma}} < \infty,$$

then there exist $h_1, \cdots, h_p \in \Gamma(X, ((q+1)L + E + K_X)$ such that $s = \sum_{j=1}^p h_j s_j$ with

$$\int_X \frac{\sum_{k=1}^p |s_k|^2 e^{-(1-\gamma)\varphi_L - \varphi_E}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^p |g_j|^2\right)^{q+\gamma}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{q}{\gamma}\right) C.$$

(1.3) *Theorem (Finite Generation of Section Module).* Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over a compact complex algebraic manifold X of complex dimension n. Let $s_1^{(m)}, \cdots, s_{q_m}^{(m)}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\Gamma(X, mL)$. Let N_0 and m_0 be positive integers such that $N_0 \geq m_0(n+2)$ and $\Gamma(X, m_0 L) \neq 0$. Let ε_m (for $m \in \mathbb{N}$) be a sequence of positive numbers which decrease rapidly enough to yield the convergence of the infinite series

$$\Phi := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_m \sum_{j=1}^{q_m} \left|s_j^{(m)}\right|^{\frac{2}{m}}$$

on X. Suppose there exist elements $s_1^{(m_0)}, \cdots, s_{q_{m_0}}^{(m_0)}$ of $\Gamma(X, m_0 L)$ such that for any $m \geq N_0$ and for any $s^{(m)} \in \Gamma(X, mL + K_X)$ the (locally defined) function

$$\frac{\left|s^{(m)}\right|^2}{\Phi^{m-(n+2)m_0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q_{m_0}} \left|s_j^{(m_0)}\right|^2\right)^{n+2}} \tag{1.3.1}$$

is locally bounded on X. Then for $m \geq N_0$,

$$\Gamma(X, mL+K_X) = \sum_{\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_{q_{m_0}} = \ell_m} \left(s_1^{(m_0)}\right)^{\nu_1} \cdots \left(s_{q_{m_0}}^{(m_0)}\right)^{\nu_{q_{m_0}}} \Gamma\left((m - m_0\ell_m)L + K_X\right),$$

where ℓ_m the largest integer with $m - \ell_m m_0 \geq N_0$.

In particular, if one denotes by $R(X,F)$ the ring $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty}\Gamma(X,kF)$ of all global holomorphic sections of positive tensor powers of a line bundle F over X, then the module $\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\Gamma(X,mL+K_X)$ is generated by a finite number of elements over the ring $R(X,m_0L)$ and the finite number of elements can be taken to be a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of the finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\bigoplus_{m=1}^{N_0}\Gamma(X,mL+K_X)$. In other words,

$$\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\Gamma(X,mL+K_X)=R(X,m_0L)\left(\bigoplus_{m=1}^{N_0}\Gamma(X,mL+K_X)\right).$$

In the special case of $L=K_X$, under the condition of the local boundedness of (1.3.1) the canonical ring $R(X,K_X)$ is finitely generated.

Proof. Let $e^{-\varphi_L}=\frac{1}{\Phi}$. For $m\geq N_0$ and any $s\in\Gamma\left(X,mL+K_X\right)$, by Theorem (1.2) from

$$\int_X\frac{|s|^2\,e^{-(m-(n+2)m_0)\varphi_L}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q_{m_0}}\left|s_j^{(m_0)}\right|^2\right)^{n+2}}<\infty,$$

it follows that there exist

$$h_1,\cdots,h_{q_{m_0}}\in\Gamma\left(X,\left(m-m_0\right)L\right)$$

such that $s=\sum_{j=1}^{q_{m_0}}h_js_j^{(m_0)}$. If $m-(n+2)\,m_0$ is still not less than N_0, we can apply the argument to each h_j instead of s until by induction on ν we get

$$h_1^{(j_1,\cdots,j_\nu)},\cdots,h_{q_{m_0}}^{(j_1,\cdots,j_\nu)}\in\Gamma\left(X,\left(m-m_0\left(\nu+1\right)\right)L+K_X\right)$$

for $1\leq j_1,\cdots,j_\nu\leq q_{m_0}$ with $1\leq\nu\leq\ell_m$ such that

$$s=\sum_{1\leq j_1,\cdots,j_\nu\leq q_{m_0}}h_{j_\nu}^{(j_1,\cdots,j_{\nu-1})}\prod_{\lambda=1}^{\nu}s_{j_\lambda}^{(m_0)}$$

with $h_j^{(0)}=h_j$ for $1\leq j\leq q_{m_0}$. Q.E.D.

We now introduce the three ingredients for our proof of Skoda's ideal generation.

§2. Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality for Tensors

The following Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for tensors is introduced to handle inequalities for curvature operator conditions. Its proof will be done by applying the usual Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for vectors and a reduction to the special case of an orthonormal set of vectors by linear transformations.

(2.1) *Proposition (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality for Tensors).* Let $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ be elements of $\mathbb{C}^r \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. Then

$$\left|\langle \mathbf{S},\, \mathbf{T}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r\otimes\mathbb{C}^n}\right|^2 \le \min(r,n)\, \|\langle \mathbf{S},\, \mathbf{T}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}\|^2_{\mathbb{C}^r\otimes\mathbb{C}^r}\,.$$

(2.2) *Remark.* When the tensors $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ are represented by $r \times n$ matrices

$$\mathbf{S} = (s_{\ell,k})_{1\le\ell\le r, 1\le k\le n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T} = (t_{\ell,k})_{1\le\ell\le r, 1\le k\le n}\,,$$

the inequality in Proposition(2.1) becomes

$$\left|\sum_{1\le\ell\le r, 1\le k\le n} s_{\ell,k}t_{\ell,k}\right|^2 \le \min(r,n) \sum_{1\le m,\ell\le r}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k}t_{\ell,k}\right|^2.$$

The special case of $n = 1$ is simply the following usual Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for vectors

$$\left|\sum_{1\le\ell\le r} s_\ell t_\ell\right|^2 \le \left(\sum_{1\le\ell\le r}|s_\ell|^2\right)\left(\sum_{1\le\ell\le r}|t_\ell|^2\right)$$

where $s_\ell = s_{\ell,1}$ and $t_\ell = t_{\ell,1}$.

(2.3) *Proof of Proposition(2.1).* Let $\mathbf{S} = (s_{\ell,k})_{1\le\ell\le r,\, 1\le k\le n}$ and $\mathbf{T} = (t_{\ell,k})_{1\le\ell\le r,\, 1\le k\le n}$. The inequality

$$\left|\langle \mathbf{S},\, \mathbf{T}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r\otimes\mathbb{C}^n}\right|^2 \le r\, \|\langle \mathbf{S},\, \mathbf{T}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}\|_{\mathbb{C}^r\otimes\mathbb{C}^r}$$

is the same as

$$\left|\sum_{1\le\ell\le r, 1\le k\le n} s_{\ell,k}t_{\ell,k}\right|^2 \le r \sum_{1\le m,\ell\le r}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k}t_{\ell,k}\right|^2,$$

which simply follows from the following application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with focus on the summation over the double index (ℓ, m) (where

$\delta_{\ell m}$ for $1 \le \ell, m \le r$ is the Kronecker delta)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \sum_{1\le \ell \le r, 1 \le k \le n} s_{\ell,k} t_{\ell,k} \right|^2 \\
&= \left| \sum_{1\le \ell, m \le r, 1 \le k \le n} \delta_{\ell m} s_{m,k} t_{\ell,k} \right|^2 \\
&= \left| \sum_{1\le \ell, m \le r} \delta_{\ell m} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k} t_{\ell,k} \right) \right|^2 \\
&\le \left(\sum_{1\le m,\ell \le r} (\delta_{m\ell})^2 \right) \left(\sum_{1\le m,\ell \le r} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k} t_{\ell,k} \right|^2 \right) \\
&= r \sum_{1\le m,\ell \le r} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k} t_{\ell,k} \right|^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

The inequality

$$\left| \langle \mathbf{S},\, \mathbf{T} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r \otimes \mathbb{C}^n} \right|^2 \le n \left\| \langle \mathbf{S},\, \mathbf{T} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^r \otimes \mathbb{C}^r}$$

is the same as

$$\left| \sum_{1\le \ell \le r, 1 \le k \le n} s_{\ell,k} t_{\ell,k} \right|^2 \le n \sum_{1\le m,\ell \le r} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k} t_{\ell,k} \right|^2 .$$

Instead of proving this inequality we prove the following equivalent inequality which is obtained by replacing $t_{\ell,k}$ by its complex conjugate $\overline{t_{\ell,k}}$.

$$\left| \sum_{1\le \ell \le r, 1 \le k \le n} s_{\ell,k} \overline{t_{\ell,k}} \right|^2 \le n \sum_{1\le m,\ell \le r} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k} \overline{t_{\ell,k}} \right|^2 . \tag{2.3.1}$$

Denote the n column r-vectors of the $r \times n$ matrix

$$\mathbf{S} = (s_{\ell,k})_{1\le \ell \le r, 1 \le k \le n}$$

by $\vec{s}_1, \cdots, \vec{s}_n$. Likewise we denote the n column r-vectors of the $r \times n$ matrix

$$\mathbf{T} = (t_{\ell,k})_{1\le \ell \le r, 1 \le k \le n}$$

by $\vec{t}_1, \cdots, \vec{t}_n$.

To prove (2.3.1) it suffices to assume that $n \leq r$ and by slightly perturbing $\vec{s}_1, \cdots, \vec{s}_n$ and then taking the limit to go back to the original set of $\vec{s}_1, \cdots, \vec{s}_n$, we can assume without loss of generality that the vectors $\vec{s}_1, \cdots, \vec{s}_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^r$ are $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent. We apply a $\mathbb{C}$-linear transformation given by an $n \times n$ matrix R to the n elements $\vec{t}_1, \cdots, \vec{t}_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^r$ and at the same time the $\mathbb{C}$-linear transformation given by the $n \times n$ matrix $\overline{(R^t)^{-1}}$, which is the complex-conjugate transpose inverse of R, to the n elements $\vec{t}_1, \cdots, \vec{t}_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^r$ in order to preserve the inner product

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{j,k}\overline{t_{\ell,k}}$$

for any fixed $1 \leq j, \ell \leq r$. So without loss of generality we can assume that the set of n elements $\vec{s}_1, \cdots, \vec{s}_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^r$ are orthonormal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r}$ and explicitly given by $s_{j,k}$ being equal to the Kronecker delta δ_{jk} for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ and equal to 0 for $n < j \leq r$. In particular, the expression

$$\sum_{1 \leq m, \ell \leq r} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k}\overline{t_{\ell,k}} \right|^2$$

is equal to

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{r}\sum_{m=1}^{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{mk}\overline{t_{\ell,k}} \right|^2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r}\sum_{m=1}^{n} \left|\overline{t_{\ell,m}}\right|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\|\vec{t}_k\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^r}^2 .$$

The left-hand of the inequality (2.3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq n} s_{\ell,k}\, \overline{t_{\ell,k}} \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\langle \vec{s}_k,\, \vec{t}_k \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r} \right|^2 ,$$

which by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \left\langle \vec{s}_k,\, \vec{t}_k \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r} \right|^2 \leq n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\|\vec{t}_k\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^r}^2 \\ &\leq n \sum_{1 \leq m, \ell \leq r} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{m,k}\overline{t_{\ell,k}} \right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

§3. Nakano Curvature of Bundle and Twisting by Metric of Trivial Line Bundle

We now straightforwardly compute the Nakano curvature in the case of a special subbundle of rank $p-1$ in the trivial vector bundle of rank p whose induced metric is twisted by a special weight function (*i.e.*, a metric of the trivial line bundle) to conclude the nonnegativity of the Nakano curvature.

(3.1) *Nakano Curvature of Vector Bundles and Subbundles.* Let U be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates $z^1, \cdots, z^n$. Let V be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r on U with smooth Hermitian metric H whose components are $H_{j\bar{k}}$ (for $1 \le h, k \le r$). We use the notation $\Theta^V_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ (for $1 \le j, k \le r$ and $1 \le \lambda, \nu \le n$) to denote the components of the curvature tensor Θ^V of V which is defined as

$$\Theta^V_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} = -\partial_\lambda \partial_{\bar{\nu}} H_{j\bar{k}} + \sum_{1 \le i, \ell \le r} H^{\bar{\ell} i} \left(\partial_\lambda H_{k\bar{\ell}}\right) \overline{\left(\partial_\nu H_{i\bar{j}}\right)}.$$

When there is no possible confusion, we will simply use $\Theta_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ to denote $\Theta^V_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ and use Θ to denote Θ^V.

The sign convention is chosen here so that when $r = 1$, the nonnegativity of the curvature of the metric $e^{-\varphi}$ means the plurisubharmonicity of the function φ.

When we have a holomorphic subbundle W of V with rank $1 \le s < r$ and local frame $e_1, \cdots, e_s$ so that $e_1, \cdots, e_r$ is orthonormal at the point P under consideration (after the application of an P-dependent element of $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$), the curvature $\Theta^W_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ for W (for $1 \le j, k \le s$ and $1 \le \lambda, \nu \le n$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^W_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} &= -\partial_\lambda \partial_{\bar{\nu}} H_{j\bar{k}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{s} \left(\partial_\lambda H_{j\bar{\ell}}\right) \overline{\left(\partial_\nu H_{\ell\bar{k}}\right)} \\ &= \Theta^V_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} - \sum_{\ell=s+1}^{r} \left(\partial_\lambda H_{j\bar{\ell}}\right) \overline{\left(\partial_\nu H_{\ell\bar{k}}\right)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.1}$$

when W is given by the metric induced from the metric of V.

By the Nakano curvature of V we mean the Hermitian form on $V \otimes T_U$ defined by

$$\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le r, 1\le \lambda\le n} \mapsto \sum_{1\le j,k\le r, 1\le \lambda,\nu\le n} \Theta^V_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} v^{j\lambda}\overline{v^{k\nu}}$$

for $\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le r, 1\le \lambda\le n}$ in $V \otimes T_U$. This Hermitian form was introduced by S. Nakano in [Nakano1955, p.8, (2.10)]. When there is no possible confusion, we will simply use Θ^V or $\Theta^V_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ to mean this Hermitian form on $V \otimes T_U$. We also use Θ^V to mean the self-adjoint operator on the vector bundle $V \otimes T_U$ and use $\left(\Theta^V\right)^{-1}$ to mean the self-adjoint operator on $V \otimes T_U$ which is the inverse of the self-adjoint operator Θ^V when Θ^V is strictly positive. When the self-adjoint operator Θ^V is only semipositive, we use the notation $\left(\Theta^V\right)^{-1}$ to mean the the limit of $\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{-1}$ as the positive number ε approaches 0, where I is the identity operator of $V \otimes T_U$. We will use this limit $\left(\Theta^V\right)^{-1}$ in the context of the inner product $\left(\left(\Theta^V\right)^{-1} F,\, F\right)$ for an element F of $V \otimes T_U$ which, defined as $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+}\left(\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{-1} F,\, F\right)$, is allowed to assume the value $+\infty$.

For another element F' of $V \otimes T_U$ (over the same point of U), by letting $\varepsilon \to 0+$ in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|(F,\, F')|^2 &= \left|\left(\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F,\, F'\right)\right|^2 \\
&= \left|\left(\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F,\, \left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} F'\right)\right|^2 \\
&\le \left\|\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F\right\|^2 \left\|\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} F'\right\|^2 \\
&= \left(\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right)^{-1} F,\, F\right)\left(\left(\Theta^V + \varepsilon I\right) F',\, F'\right),
\end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$|(F,\, F')|^2 \le \left(\left(\Theta^V\right)^{-1} F,\, F\right)\left(\left(\Theta^V\right) F',\, F'\right). \tag{3.1.2}$$

This inequality (3.1.2) of Cauchy-Schwarz type also clearly holds for any semipositive tensors of the same tensor type as a Nakano curvature tensor.

When there is another tensor Ξ with components $\Xi_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ which defines a self-adjoint operator on $V \otimes T_U$, we say that Ξ is is dominated by Θ^V or $\Xi \le \Theta^V$ if the Hermitian form on $V \otimes T_U$ defined by Ξ is $\le$ the Hermitian form on $V \otimes T_U$ defined by Θ^V.

For a $(1,1)$-form $\omega = \sum_{\lambda,\nu=1}^{n} \omega_{\lambda\bar{\nu}} dz^\lambda \wedge \overline{dz^\nu}$ we use the notation $H \otimes \omega$ to denote the tensor whose components are $H_{j\bar{k}}\omega_{\lambda\bar{\nu}}$.

(3.2) *Proposition (Nakano Curvature of Kernel Subbundle in Normal Fiber Coordinate).* Let $g_1, \cdots, g_p$ be holomorphic functions on a domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the kernel of the bundle-homomorphism $(g_1, \cdots, g_p) : (\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p} \to \mathcal{O}_\Omega$ which is given the metric induced from the standard flat metric of the trivial $\mathbb{C}$-vector bundle $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ of rank p. Let P be a point of Ω with $g_1(P) = \cdots = g_{p-1}(P) = 0$ and $g_p(P) = 1$. Then at the point P the Nakano curvature $\Theta_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ of the kernel vector subbundle $\mathcal{K}$ of rank $p-1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le p-1, 1\le\lambda\le n} &\mapsto \sum_{1\le j,k\le p-1, 1\le\lambda,\nu\le n} \Theta_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} v^{j\lambda}\overline{v^{k\nu}} \\ &= -\left| \sum_{1\le j\le p-1, 1\le\lambda\le n} (\partial_\lambda g_j)\, v^{j\lambda} \right|^2\end{aligned}$$

for $\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le p-1, 1\le\lambda\le n}$.

(3.3) *Proof.* At points of Ω where $g_1, \cdots, g_p$ are not all zero, the kernel subbundle $\mathcal{K}$ is spanned by the local basis of $p-1$ local holomorphic sections

$$e_j = \left(0, \cdots, 0, \underbrace{g_p}_{j\,\text{th}}, 0, \cdots, 0, -g_j\right)$$

for $1 \le j \le p-1$ so that the metric induced on $\mathcal{K}$ by the trivial $\mathbb{C}$-vector bundle $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ is given by

$$H_{j\bar{k}} = \langle e_j,\, e_k\rangle = \delta_{jk}\,|g_p|^2 + g_j\overline{g_k} \tag{3.3.1}$$

for $1 \le j, k \le p-1$. Let $e_p = (g_1, \cdots, g_p)$. Since $g_1(P) = \cdots = g_{p-1}(P) = 0$ and $g_p(P) = 1$, it follows from (3.3.1) that the frame $e_1, \cdots, e_p$ of $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ is orthonormal at P. We also denote the inner product $\langle e_j,\, e_k\rangle$ by $H_{j\bar{k}}$ when either j or k is p.

We now compute the curvature $\Theta_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ of $H_{j\bar{k}}$ at the point P by using the formula (3.1.1) with $V = (\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ and $W = \mathcal{K}$ as follows. Since V is the trivial $\mathbb{C}$-vector bundle of rank p, we have $\Theta^V_{j\bar{k}\alpha\bar{\beta}} \equiv 0$ and $r = p$ and $s = p-1$ so that

$$\Theta^W_{j\bar{k}\alpha\bar{\beta}} = -\,(\partial_\alpha H_{j\bar{p}})\,\overline{(\partial_\beta H_{k\bar{p}})}.$$

Since

$$H_{j\bar{p}} = \langle e_j,\, e_p \rangle = -g_j \overline{g_p}$$

for $1 \leq j, k \leq p-1$ by (3.3.1), it follows that at P,

$$\Theta^W_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} = -\left(\partial_\lambda H_{j\bar{p}}\right) \overline{\left(\partial_\nu H_{k\bar{p}}\right)} = -\left(-\partial_\lambda g_j\right) \overline{\left(-\partial_\nu g_k\right)}$$

for $1 \leq j, k \leq p-1$ and for

$$\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\leq j\leq p-1, 1\leq\lambda\leq n}$$

at P we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq p-1, 1\leq\lambda,\nu\leq n} \Theta^W_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} v^{j\lambda}\overline{v^{k\nu}} &= -\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq p-1, 1\leq\lambda,\nu\leq n} \left(\partial_\lambda g_j\right) \overline{\left(\partial_\nu g_k\right)} v^{j\lambda}\overline{v^{k\nu}} \\ &= -\left|\sum_{1\leq j\leq p-1, 1\leq\lambda\leq n} \left(\partial_\lambda g_j\right) v^{j\lambda}\right|^2 .\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

(3.4) *Curvature Contribution from Twisting by Line Bundle.* We twist the induced metric H of the kernel vector bundle $\mathcal{K}$ by $\frac{1}{|g|^{2\gamma}}$ for some $\gamma > 0$, where $|g|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p |g_j|^2$. At the point P of Ω where $g_1(P) = \cdots = g_{p-1}(P) = 0$, the curvature contribution from the metric $\frac{1}{|g|^2}$ of the trivial line bundle is

$$\partial\bar{\partial} \log |g|^2 = \frac{1}{|g|^4} \sum_{1\leq j<k\leq p} \left(\left(\partial g_j\right) g_k - \left(\partial g_k\right) g_j\right) \wedge \overline{\left(\left(\partial g_j\right) g_k - \left(\partial g_k\right) g_j\right)}$$

which at P is reduced to

$$\partial\bar{\partial} \log |g|^2 = \frac{1}{|g_p|^2} \sum_{1\leq j\leq p-1} \left(\partial g_j\right) \wedge \overline{\left(\partial g_j\right)} \tag{3.4.1}$$

(because $\left(\partial g_j\right) g_k - \left(\partial g_k\right) g_j$ can only be nonzero when $k = p$ and $j \neq k$ on account of $j < k$). In addition, when $g_p(P)$ is assumed to be 1,

$$\partial\bar{\partial} \log |g|^2 = \sum_{1\leq j\leq p-1} \left(\partial g_j\right) \wedge \overline{\left(\partial g_j\right)} \tag{3.4.2}$$

at the point P. Thus, combined with the computation in Proposition(3.2), under the assumption of $g_1(P) = \cdots = g_p(P) = 0$ and $g_p(P) = 1$ the value

at $\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le p-1,1\le\lambda\le n}$ of the Hermitian form at P defined by the Nakano curvature of the metric $\frac{H_{j\bar{k}}}{|g|^{2\gamma}}$ for $\gamma>0$ is

$$\gamma\sum_{1\le j,\ell\le p-1}\left|\sum_{1\le\lambda\le n}(\partial_\lambda g_j)v_{\ell\lambda}\right|^2-\left|\sum_{1\le j\le p-1,1\le\lambda\le n}(\partial_\lambda g_j)\,v^{j\lambda}\right|^2.$$

By applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for tensors in (2.2)

$$\left|\sum_{1\le\ell\le r,1\le k\le n}s_{\ell,k}t_{\ell,k}\right|^2\le\min(r,n)\sum_{1\le m,\ell\le r}\left|\sum_{k=1}^n s_{m,k}t_{\ell,k}\right|^2$$

with $r=p-1$ and $s_{\ell,\lambda}=\partial_\lambda g_\ell$ and $t_{\ell,\lambda}=v^{\ell\lambda}$, we conclude that

$$\gamma\sum_{1\le j,\ell\le p-1}\left|\sum_{1\le\lambda\le n}(\partial_\lambda g_j)v_{\ell\lambda}\right|^2\ge\left|\sum_{1\le j\le p-1,1\le\lambda\le n}(\partial_\lambda g_j)\,v^{j\lambda}\right|^2$$

for $\gamma\ge\min(n,p-1)$ at a point P with $g_1(P)=\cdots=g_p(P)=0$ and $g_p(P)=1$.

We now drop the assumption of $g_1(P)=\cdots=g_p(P)=0$ and $g_p(P)=1$ so that for an element v of $\mathcal{K}$ when we regard v as an element of $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ with components $(v_1,\cdots,v_p)$ we need to use all p components because in general v_p is no longer necessarily 0. We have the following conclusion.

(3.4.3) The Hermitian form

$$\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le p,1\le\lambda\le n}\mapsto\sum_{1\le j,k\le p,1\le\lambda,\nu\le n}\Theta(\gamma)_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}v^{j\lambda}\overline{v^{k\nu}}$$

on $V\otimes T_\Omega$ defined by the curvature $\Theta(\gamma)_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ of the metric

$$H(\gamma):=\frac{H_{j\bar{k}}}{|g|^{2\gamma}}$$

for $\gamma\ge\min(n,p-1)$ dominates $\gamma-\min(n,p-1)$ times the Hermitian form

$$\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le p,1\le\lambda\le n}\mapsto\left(\sum_{1\le\lambda,\nu\le n}\partial_\lambda\partial_{\bar{\nu}}\log|g|^2\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^p\left|v^{j\lambda}\right|^2\right)$$

defined by (the tensor product of the identity operator of V and) $\partial\bar{\partial}\log|g|^2$ on $V\otimes T_\Omega$.

(3.5) *Another Expression for Curvature Contribution of Twisting.* Another expression for the curvature contribution from the twisting which we will be using is the following.

$$\frac{1}{|g|^2}\partial\bar{\partial}\log|g|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{p}\partial\left(\frac{g_j}{|g|^2}\right)\wedge\overline{\partial\left(\frac{g_j}{|g|^2}\right)}. \tag{3.5.1}$$

To verify it at a point P of Ω, since both sides are invariant under the application of a unitary transformation of order p to $(g_1,\cdots,g_p)$, we can assume without loss of generality that $g_1(P)=\cdots=g_{p-1}(P)=0$ so that

$$\partial\left(\frac{g_j}{|g|^2}\right)=\frac{dg_j}{|g_p|^2}\quad\text{for}\quad 1\le j\le p-1$$

and $\partial\left(\frac{g_p}{|g|^2}\right)=0$ at P. (Note that here, unlike in the computation of the curvature, we cannot impose the additional assumption of $g_p(P)=1$, because the expression $\partial\left(\frac{g_j}{|g|^2}\right)$ does not remain unchanged when each of the functions $g_1,\cdots,g_p$ is multiplied by a nonzero constant.) Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p}\partial\left(\frac{g_j}{|g|^2}\right)\wedge\overline{\partial\left(\frac{g_j}{|g|^2}\right)}=\frac{1}{|g_p|^4}\sum_{1\le j\le p-1}(\partial_\lambda g_j)\wedge\overline{(\partial_\nu g_j)}. \tag{3.5.2}$$

Now (3.5.1) follows from (3.4.1) and (3.5.2).

§4. Vanishing Theorem and Solution of $\bar{\partial}$ Equation for Nonnegative Nakano Curvature

We now present the vanishing theorem and solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ equation for a holomorphic vector bundle of nonnegative Nakano curvature on a strictly pseudoconvex manifold, with emphasis on the lack of strict positivity of the curvature at any point. Even for application to algebraic geometry, the strictly pseudoconvex situation is needed to enable the removal of an ample complex hypersurface to handle the singularity of the metrics of the vector bundle which is inherent to our problem at hand.

(4.1) *Theorem (Vanishing Theorem of Kodaira-Nakano with L^2 Estimate for Pseudoconvex Manifolds).* Let Ω be a relative compact domain in an n-dimensional complex manifold X with Kähler metric $g_{\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ (for $1\le\lambda,\nu\le n$)

such that the boundary of Ω is smooth and strictly psuedoconvex. Let V be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r on X with smooth metric $H_{j\bar{k}}$ (for $1 \leq j, k \leq r$) whose Nakano curvature $\Theta_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ is semipositive on X. Let $F = \big(F^j_{\bar{\lambda}}\big)_{1\leq j\leq r,\, 1\leq\lambda\leq n}$ be a smooth V-valued $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0,1)$-form on Ω such that $(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is finite (in the sense of (3.1)). Then the equation $\bar{\partial}u = F$ can be solved for a smooth section u of V over Ω with $\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq (\Theta^{-1}F,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

(4.2) Though this statement may not be found in the literature as stated, it can be routinely derived from known available techniques. Instead of giving a detailed proof of it here, we will only comment on the noteworthy features of the statement and explain the main lines of arguments for its proof. The most important feature of the statement is that there may be no point in X where the Nakano curvature Θ of the holomorphic vector bundle V is strictly positive. At a point P of X the Hermitian form of the Nakano curvature Θ may be positive when evaluated at some elements $V \otimes T_X$ at P and may be zero at some other elements of $V \otimes T_X$ at P. This may be the situation at every point P of X. The assumption of the finiteness of $(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ means that F must be zero at elements of $(V \otimes T_X)|_\Omega$ where the Hermitian form of the Nakano curvature Θ vanishes.

The key argument of solving the $\bar{\partial}u = F$ on Ω for a smooth section u of V over Ω is to use the following basic estimate obtained by completion of squares by integration by parts

$$\big\|\bar{\partial}v\big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \big\|\bar{\partial}^*v\big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \big\|\bar{\nabla}v\big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\mathrm{Levi}_{\partial\Omega},\, v\wedge\bar{v})_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + (\Theta v, v)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for a test V-valued $(0,1)$-form v on Ω which belongs to the domain of $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}^*$ on Ω, where $\mathrm{Levi}_{\partial\Omega}$ is the Levi form $\partial\bar{\partial}r$ of $\partial\Omega$ (with r being a smooth function on $\partial\Omega$ defining Ω as $\Omega = \{r < 0\}$ and dr being nowhere zero on $\partial\Omega$) and $\bar{\nabla}$ means the covariant differential operator along tangent vectors of type $(0,1)$. If one has the estimate

$$\big|(v,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)}\big| \leq \hat{C}\,\big\|\bar{\partial}^*v\big\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{4.2.1}$$

for all test V-valued $(0,1)$-form v on Ω in the domains of the two operators $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}^*$, one can apply Riesz's representation theorem to the $\mathbb{C}$-linear functional

$$\bar{\partial}^*v \mapsto (v, F)_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{4.2.2}$$

with bound $\hat{C}$ to write the $\mathbb{C}$-linear functional (4.2.2) in the form $w \mapsto (w,u)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for some u with $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \hat{C}$ so that from

$$(v,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (\bar{\partial}^* v,\, u)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (v, \bar{\partial} u)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all all test V-valued $(0,1)$-form v on Ω in the domains of $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}^*$ it follows that $\bar{\partial} u = F$ with $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \hat{C}$. To obtain the estimate (4.2.1), since F is $\bar{\partial}$-closed, it suffices to get the estimate for test $(0,1)$-forms v which are $\bar{\partial}$-closed. By the inequality (3.1.2) of Cauchy-Schwarz type we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|(v,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)}\right|^2 &\leq \left|\left(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F\right)_{L^2(\Omega)}\right| \cdot \left|(\Theta v,\, v)_{L^2(\Omega)}\right| \\
&\leq \left|\left(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F\right)_{L^2(\Omega)}\right| \left(\left\|\bar{\partial} v\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\|\bar{\partial}^* v\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \\
&= \left|\left(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F\right)_{L^2(\Omega)}\right| \cdot \left\|\bar{\partial}^* v\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}$$

so that the estimate (4.2.1) holds with $\hat{C} = \left|(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which according to the assumption is finite. This finishes the argument, because $\hat{C}$ us equal to the square root of $C = \left|(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F)_{L^2(\Omega)}\right|$ and $\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C$.

Here we have left out the routine details about the use of convolution to handle the problem of proving that smooth V-valued $(0,1)$-forms on Ω up to the boundary in domain of $\bar{\partial}^*$ are dense, with respect to the graph norm, in the space of all L^2 V-valued $(0,1)$-forms on Ω in the domains of $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}^*$.

More general statements than that given in Theorem (4.1) hold by the same arguments. For example, the $(0,1)$-form F is replaced by a $(0,q)$-form with $q \geq 1$ and the condition of $\partial\Omega$ being smooth and strictly pseudoconvex can be weakened. Here we only give the statement which suffices for our purpose.

(4.3) *Corollary (Special Case of Semipositive* $(1,1)$*-Form as Lower Bound).* In Theorem (4.1) suppose there are a smooth semipositive $(1,1)$-form ω on X and a positive smooth function Φ on X such that the Nakano curvature Θ of V dominates $H \otimes \omega$ on X and $\Phi\omega$ dominates the fiber trace

$$\mathrm{FbTr}(F) := \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq r} H_{j\bar{k}} F^j \wedge \overline{F^k}$$

of the $\bar\partial$-closed V-valued $(0,1)$-form $F = (F^1, \cdots, F^p)$ on Ω, where $F^j = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n F^j_{\bar\lambda} d\overline{z^\lambda}$. Let n_ω be a positive integer $\le n$ such that the number of nonzero eigenvalues of ω is $\le n_\omega$ at every point of Ω. If the integral $\int_\Omega \Phi$ (with respect to the Kähler volume form of X) is finite, then the $\bar\partial$-equation $\bar\partial u = F$ on Ω can be solved for the unknown smooth section u of V over Ω such that the square of the L^2 norm of u with respect to h is $\le n_\omega \int_\Omega \Phi$.

(4.4) *Proof.* At any point P of Ω we can choose local coordinates $(z^1, \cdots, z^n)$ centered at P so that both ω and ξ are diagonalized at P to be of the form

$$\Phi\,\omega = \sum_{1\le\lambda\le n_\omega} a_\lambda dz^\lambda \wedge d\overline{z^\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{FrTr}(F) = \sum_{1\le\lambda\le n_\omega} b_\lambda dz^\lambda \wedge d\overline{z^\lambda}$$

with $0 \le b_\lambda \le a_\lambda$ for $1 \le \lambda \le n_\omega$. Since the pointwise inner product $\langle (H\otimes\omega)^{-1}F,\, F\rangle_P$ at the point P is equal to

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_\omega} \frac{\Phi}{a_\lambda} \sum_{1\le j,k\le r} H^{\bar k j} F_{\bar k\bar\lambda} \overline{F_{\bar j\bar\lambda}} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_\omega} \frac{b_\lambda \Phi}{a_\lambda} \le n_\omega \Phi,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F\big)_{L^2(\Omega)} &\le \big((H\otimes\omega)^{-1}F,\, F\big)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \int_{P\in\Omega} \big\langle (H\otimes\omega)^{-1}F,\, F\big\rangle_P \le n_\omega \int_\Omega \Phi\end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows from Theorem (4.1). Q.E.D.

(4.5) *Corollary (Base Trace of Fiber Trace of Kernel Bundle Valued* $(0,1)$*-Form).* In Theorem (4.1) suppose there are a smooth semipositive $(1,1)$-form ω on X and a positive smooth function Φ on X such that the Nakano curvature Θ of V dominates $H\otimes\omega$ on X and at every point of Ω the null space of ω is contained in the null space of the fiber trace $\mathrm{FbTr}(F)$ of the $\bar\partial$-closed V-valued $(0,1)$-form F on Ω. Let $\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(\mathrm{FbTr}(F))$ be the trace of $\mathrm{FbTr}(F)$ with respect to ω (which means the limit, as $\varepsilon \to 0+$, of the trace of $\mathrm{FbTr}(F)$ with respect to ω plus ε times the Kähler form of X). If the integral $\int_\Omega \mathrm{Tr}_\omega(\mathrm{FbTr}(F))$ (with respect to the Kähler volume form of X) is finite, then the $\bar\partial$-equation $\bar\partial u = F$ on Ω can be solved for the unknown smooth section u of V over Ω such that the square of the L^2 norm of u with respect to h is $\le \int_\Omega \mathrm{Tr}_\omega(\mathrm{FbTr}(F))$.

(4.6) *Proof.* It follows from Theorem (4.1) and

$$\left(\Theta^{-1}F,\, F\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \left((H\otimes\omega)^{-1}F,\, F\right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega \mathrm{Tr}_\omega\left(\mathrm{FbTr}(F)\right).$$

Q.E.D.

§5. Proof of Skoda's Result on Ideal Generation

We now present our proof of Skoda's result on ideal generation by using the above three ingredients.

(5.1) *Setup and Solution of $\bar\partial$ Equation.* Let Ω be a Stein domain spread over $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $g_1,\cdots,g_p$ be holomorphic functions on Ω and $\mathcal{K}$ be the kernel subbundle of the bundle-homomorphism $(g_1,\cdots,g_p):(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}\to\mathcal{O}_\Omega$. Let ψ be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω. Let f be a holomorphic function on Ω. Let Z be a complex hypersurface in Ω which contains the common zero-set Z of $g_1,\cdots,g_p$ such that $\Omega-Z$ is Stein. On $\Omega-Z$ we can write

$$f=\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\overline{g_j}}{|g|^2}\,fg_j \tag{5.1.1}$$

and let

$$F=(F_1,\cdots,F_p)=\left(\bar\partial\left(\frac{\overline{g_1}}{|g|^2}\right),\cdots,\bar\partial\left(\frac{\overline{g_p}}{|g|^2}\right)\right)f. \tag{5.1.2}$$

Then F is a $\mathcal{K}$-valued $(0,1)$-form on $\Omega-Z$, because $\sum_{j=1}^p\left(\bar\partial F_j\right)g_j\equiv 0$ follows by applying $\bar\partial$ to (5.1.1). We consider solving $\bar\partial u=F$ for u in the kernel bundle $\mathcal{K}$ with L^2 estimates on the Stein domain $\Omega-Z$ spread over $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We find relatively compact subdomains Ω_m of Ω with smooth strictly pseudoconvex boundary (for $m\in\mathbb{N}$) such that (i) Ω_m is relatively compact in Ω_{m+1} for $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and (ii) the union of all Ω_m for $m\in\mathbb{N}$ is equal to $\Omega-Z$. By using smoothing by convolution we can find a smooth plurisubharmonic function ψ_m on an open neighborhood of the closure of Ω_m in Ω_{m+1} such that $\psi\leq\psi_{m+1}\leq\psi_m$ on Ω_m and ψ_m approaches ψ on $\Omega-Z$ as $m\to\infty$.

Let $q=\min(n,p-1)$ and $\gamma>0$. Assume that

$$\hat C=\int_\Omega\frac{|f|^2e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+1+\gamma)}}<\infty. \tag{5.1.3}$$

We are going to apply Corollary (4.3) to the kernel vector subbundle $\mathcal{K}$ on Ω_m with the metric

$$H(\gamma,m)_{j\bar{k}} := \frac{H_{j\bar{k}}e^{-\psi_m}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}}$$

with $\gamma > 0$, where $H_{j\bar{k}}$ is the metric for $\mathcal{K}$ induced from the standard flat metric of $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$. Let $\omega(\gamma) = \gamma\partial\bar{\partial}\log|g|^2$. By (3.4.2) we have the bound $n_{\omega(\gamma)} \le p-1$ for the number $n_{\omega(\gamma)}$ defined in (4.3) when we do the computation of the number of nonzero eigenvalues of $\omega(\gamma)$ at a point P by using the normal fiber coordinates with $g_1(P) = \cdots = g_{p-1}(P) = 0$ and $g_p(P) = 1$. By (3.5.1) we have

$$\Phi_m\omega(\gamma) = \mathrm{FbTr}(F) \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_m = \frac{|f|^2e^{-\psi_m}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}}\frac{1}{\gamma|g|^2}, \tag{5.1.4}$$

where the factor $\frac{|f|^2e^{-\psi_m}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}}$ comes from the metric $\frac{\delta_{jk}e^{-\psi_m}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_\Omega^{\oplus p}$ which induces the metric $H(\gamma,m)$ on the subbundle $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{O}_\Omega^{\oplus p}$. By (4.3), (5.1.3), and (5.1.4), from $\psi \le \psi_m$ on Ω_m it follows that there exists some smooth section $u^{(m)}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ over Ω_m such that $\bar{\partial}u_m = F$ on Ω_m with

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega_m}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p\left|u_j^{(m)}\right|^2e^{-\psi_m}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}} \le n_{\omega(\gamma)}\int_\Omega\Phi_m\\ &\le\frac{n_{\omega(\gamma)}}{\gamma}\int_{\Omega_m}\frac{|f|^2e^{-\psi_m}}{|g|^{2(q+1+\gamma)}} = \frac{n_{\omega(\gamma)}}{\gamma}\hat{C} \le \frac{q}{\gamma}\hat{C},\end{aligned}$$

where $u_j^{(m)}$ is the j-component of $u^{(m)}$ when it is regarded as an element of $\mathcal{O}_\Omega^{\oplus p}$ over Ω_m. By the standard process of using a subsequence $\{m_\nu\}$ of $\{m\}$ to pass to the limit of of $u^{(m_\nu)}$ when $\nu\to\infty$, we conclude that there exists a smooth section u of $\mathcal{K}$ over $\Omega - Z$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = F$ on $\Omega - Z$ and

$$\int_{\Omega-Z}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p|u_j|^2e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}} \le \frac{q}{\gamma}\hat{C},$$

where u_j is the j-component of u when it is regarded as an element of $\mathcal{O}_\Omega^{\oplus p}$ over $\Omega - Z$. Let $h_j = \frac{\bar{g}_j}{|g|^2}f - u_j$. Then h_j is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{K}$ over

$\Omega - Z$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{p} h_j g_j = f$ on $\Omega - Z$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega - Z} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p} |h_j|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}} \\
&= \int_{\Omega - Z} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \left| \frac{\bar{g}_j}{|g|^2} f - u_j \right|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}} \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\Omega - Z} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \left| \frac{\bar{g}_j}{|g|^2} f \right|^2 e^{-\psi} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} |u_j|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}} \\
&= 2 \int_{\Omega - Z} \frac{|f|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+1+\gamma)}} + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{|u_j|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+\gamma)}} \\
&\leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{q}{\gamma} \right) \hat{C}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can now extend h_j to be a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{K}$ over Ω from the L^2 estimate. This finishes our proof of Skoda's result on ideal generation, except for a factor of 2 in the L^2 estimate for the solution $h_1, \cdots, h_p$, which we now discuss.

(5.2) Though it is an insignificant point for our purpose, there is a factor of 2 which should not be there on the right-hand side of the estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p} |h_j|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2q+\gamma}} \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{q}{\gamma} \right) \hat{C}$$

in (5.1). The constant γ is related to the constant α in (1.1) by $q + 1 + \gamma = \alpha q + 1$ which means $\alpha = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{q}$ so that $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} = 1 + \frac{q}{\gamma}$. In its computation in (5.1) the estimate of

$$\left| 2\mathrm{Re} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_j}{|g|^2} f \, u_j \right) \right| \leq \left| \frac{\bar{g}_j}{|g|^2} f \right|^2 + |u_j|^2$$

can be replaced by the better inequality of

$$\left| 2\mathrm{Re} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_j}{|g|^2} f \, \overline{u_j} \right) \right| \leq \beta \left| \frac{\bar{g}_j}{|g|^2} f \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} |u_j|^2$$

with $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{q}{\gamma}}$ to give the smaller bound of $\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{q}{\gamma}} \right)^2$ which is still greater than $1 + \frac{q}{\gamma}$.

One possible way to optimize better the bound is to use the fact that since each solution section $u^{(m)} = \left(u_1^{(m)}, \cdots, u_p^{(m)}\right)$ of the bundle $\mathcal{K}$ over Ω_m is obtained from the Riesz representation theorem, the section $u^{(m)}$ is orthogonal to the kernel of the $\bar{\partial}$ operator on Ω_m respect to the metric $H(\gamma, m)_{j\bar{k}}$ on $\Omega^{(m)}$. In this note we will not go further into the question of optimization of the constant in the integral bound of the solution of ideal generation.

§6. Variants of Skoda's Ideal Generation

From our proof variants of Skoda's ideal generation result can readily be obtained by different choices of the weight function (which is the twisting by the metric of the trivial line bundle). Here we give three examples in the following theorem. The example in Theorem(6.1)(b) yields Théorème 2 on p.571 in Skoda's paper [Skoda1972] with the careful choice of φ given there.

(6.1) *Theorem (Variants of Skoda's Ideal Generation).* Let Ω be a domain spread over $\mathbb{C}^n$ which is Stein. Let ψ be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω, $g_1, \ldots, g_p$ be holomorphic functions on Ω (not all identically zero), $\gamma > 0$, $q = \min(n, p-1)$, $|g|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p |g_j|^2$, and f be a holomorphic function on Ω.
(a) If the integral

$$C_1 := \int_\Omega \frac{|f|^2 \left(|g|^2 + q(1+|g|^2)\right) e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+2)}(1+|g|^2)}$$

is finite, then there exist holomorphic functions $h_1, \ldots, h_p$ on Ω with $f = \sum_{j=1}^p h_j g_j$ on Ω such that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p |h_j|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2q}(1+|g|^2)} \leq 2C_1.$$

(b) If φ is a smooth plurisubharmonic function on Ω such that $\omega := \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ is not identically zero on Ω. If the integral

$$C_2 := \int_\Omega \frac{|f|^2(1 + \Delta_\omega \log|g|^2) e^{-(\varphi+\psi)}}{|g|^{2(q+1)}}$$

is finite, then there exist holomorphic functions $h_1, \ldots, h_p$ on Ω with $f = \sum_{j=1}^p h_j g_j$ on Ω such that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p |h_j|^2 e^{-(\varphi+\psi)}}{|g|^{2q}} \leq 2C_2,$$

where $\Delta_\omega \log|g|^2$ means the Laplacian of the function $\log|g|^2$ with respect to ω (which means the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0+$ of the Laplacian of the function $\log|g|^2$ with respect to the sum of ω and ε times the standard Euclidean Kähler form of $\mathbb{C}^n$).

(c) If $|g_j| < 1$ on Ω for $1 \le j \le p$ and

$$C_3 := \int_\Omega \frac{|f|^2 \left(\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)\right) + q\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)\right)^2\right) e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2(q+1)}}$$

is finite, then there exist holomorphic functions $h_1, \ldots, h_p$ on Ω with $f = \sum_{j=1}^p h_j g_j$ on Ω such that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p |h_j|^2 \left(\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)\right) e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2q}} \le 2C_3.$$

(6.2) *Proof.* (a) Since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\bar\partial \log(1+|g|^2) &= \frac{|g|^2 \partial\bar\partial \log|g|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^p \partial g_k \wedge \overline{\partial g_k}}{(1+|g|^2)^2} \\ &\ge \frac{|g|^2 \partial\bar\partial \log|g|^2}{1+|g|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that Corollary(4.3) can be applied to the subbundle $\mathcal{K}$ of $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ with the metric induced by the standard flat metric of $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ multiplied by the weight function

$$\frac{|f|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2q}(1+|g|^2)}$$

so that the function Φ can be chosen to be

$$\frac{|f|^2 e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2q+4}}$$

to solve the equation $\bar\partial u = F$ for a smooth section of $\mathcal{K}$ on Ω with F given by (5.1.2) as described in (5.1).

(b) The conclusion follows immediately from Corollary(4.5) with the same meaning for ω as in (4.5) and with the fiber trace $\mathrm{FbTr}(F)$ of F of (5.1.2) being equal to $\frac{1}{|g|^2}\Delta_\omega \log|g|^2$ on account of (3.5.1).

(c) Since

$$-\partial\bar\partial\log\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)=\frac{\partial\bar\partial\log|g|^2}{\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)}+\frac{(\partial\log|g|^2)\wedge\left(\bar\partial\log|g|^2\right)}{\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)\right)^2}$$
$$\geq\frac{\partial\bar\partial\log|g|^2}{\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)},$$

it follows that Corollary(4.3) can be applied to the subbundle $\mathcal{K}$ of $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ with the metric induced by the standard flat metric of $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ multiplied by the weight function

$$\frac{\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)\right)e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2q}}$$

so that the function Φ can be chosen to be

$$\frac{1}{|g|^2}\frac{\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{|g|^2}\right)^2\right)e^{-\psi}}{|g|^{2q}}|f|^2$$

to solve the equation $\bar\partial u=F$ for a smooth section of $\mathcal{K}$ on Ω with F given by (5.1.2) as described in (5.1). Q.E.D.

(6.2) *Remark.* Theorem(6.1)(b) can be applied to any $\varphi=\frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^m}$ (for $m\in\mathbb{N}$) or $\varphi=e^{-|z|^2}$ or any other global smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}^n$, but the use of any weight function $e^{-\varphi}$ with φ strictly plurisubharmonic defeats the original purpose of applying vanishing theorems and L^2 estimates of $\bar\partial$ without the Nakano curvature being strictly positive at any point. Unfortunately, unlike Theorem(1.1) (with Theorem(1.2) as its algebraic geometric formulation), none of the three parts of Theorem(6.1) can be formulated and used in an algebraic geometric setting.

§7. Comparison with Original Proof of Skoda's Ideal Generation

The proof given here is simpler and more straightforward than Skoda's original proof, because (i) we can quote directly well-developed known techniques and geometric notions and (ii) we can use normal coordinates to simplify the computations on account of the coordinate-independence of the

geometric entities involved. However, behind the facade of geometric and analytic arguments, the manipulations in computation between our proof and Skoda's original proof can be put in parallel correspondence, with expressions in our proof neater and simpler than in Skoda's proof due to the use of normal coordinates. For the discussion on the comparison, we start out with the following Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for tensors more general than those in Proposition (2.1).

(7.1) *Proposition (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality for More General Tensors).* Let $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^p$. Let $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ be elements of $\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $q = \min(n, p-1)$. Then

$$\left| \langle \vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{b},\, \vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{c} \rangle_{(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^p) \times \mathbb{C}^n} \right|^2 \leq q \, \|\vec{a}\|^2_{\mathbb{C}^p} \left\| \langle \vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{b},\, \mathbf{c} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right\|^2_{(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^p) \times \mathbb{C}^p} .$$

Here (i) $\vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}$ is the wedge product of $\vec{a}$ separately with each of the n elements of $\mathbb{C}^p$ defined by $\mathbf{b}$ to end up with an element of $\left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^p\right) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and (ii) the inner product $\langle \vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{b},\, \mathbf{c} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is taken when the element $\vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}$ of $\left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^p\right) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ is regarded as an $\left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^p\right)$-valued element of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the element $\mathbf{c}$ is regarded as a $\mathbb{C}^p$-valued element of $\mathbb{C}^n$ so that $\langle \vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{b},\, \mathbf{c} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ becomes an element of $\left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^p\right) \times \mathbb{C}^p$.

(7.2) *Equivalence of Proposition(7.1) and Proposition(2.1).* Proposition(7.1) is derived from Proposition(2.1) simply by choosing an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{C}^p$ with respect to which $\vec{a}$ becomes the element $(0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ of $\mathbb{C}^p$. On the other hand, Proposition(2.1) is derived from Proposition(7.1) simply by choosing $r = p-1$ and $\vec{a} = (0, \cdots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and $\mathbf{S} = \vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{T} = \vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{c}$.

(7.3) *Remark.* In terms of the formulation of tensors with indices, when the tensors $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ are represented by $p \times n$ matrices

$$\mathbf{b} = (b_{\ell,k})_{1 \leq \ell \leq p,\, 1 \leq k \leq n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_{\ell,k})_{1 \leq \ell \leq p,\, 1 \leq k \leq n}$$

then the inequality in Proposition(7.1) becomes

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq j < \ell \leq p} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_j\, b_{\ell,k} - a_\ell\, b_{j,k}) \, \overline{(a_j\, c_{\ell,k} - a_\ell\, c_{j,k})} \right|^2$$
$$\leq q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} |a_j|^2 \right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \sum_{1 \leq m < j \leq p} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_m\, b_{j,k} - a_j\, b_{m,k}) \, \overline{c_{\ell,k}} \right|^2 ,$$

because

$$\vec{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} = (a_j\, b_{\ell,k} - a_\ell\, b_{j,k})_{1\le j<\ell\le p,\, 1\le k\le n}\,.$$

Since

$$\sum_{1\le j<\ell\le p} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_j\, b_{\ell,k} - a_\ell\, b_{j,k})\, \overline{(a_j\, c_{\ell,k} - a_\ell\, c_{j,k})}$$

$$= \sum_{1\le j,\ell\le p} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_j\, b_{\ell,k} - a_\ell\, b_{j,k})\, \overline{c_{j,k}}$$

(from the fact that the inner product of a 2-tensor C with a skew-symmetric 2-tensor B is unchanged when C is replaced by its skew-symmetrization), by replacing $c_{\ell,k}$ by its complex-conjugate we conclude that the inequality in Proposition(7.1) is equivalent to

$$\left| \sum_{j,\ell=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \overline{a_j}\, (a_j\, b_{\ell,k} - a_\ell\, b_{j,k})\, c_{\ell,k} \right|^2$$

$$\le q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} |a_j|^2 \right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \sum_{1\le m<j\le p} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_m\, b_{j,k} - a_j\, b_{m,k})\, c_{\ell,k} \right|^2 ,$$

which is precisely the inequality in [Skoda1972, p.552, Lemme 1].

We give in Proposition(3.2) the formula for the Nakano curvature of the kernel subbundle $\mathcal{K}$ in normal fiber coordinates. Now for comparison between our proof and Skoda's original proof, we give the formula for it in general fiber coordinates as follows.

(7.4) *Proposition (Nakano Curvature of Kernel Subbundle).* Let $g_1, \cdots, g_p$ be holomorphic functions on a domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the kernel of the bundle-homomorphism $(g_1, \cdots, g_p) : (\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p} \to \mathcal{O}_\Omega$ which is given the metric induced from the standard flat metric of the trivial $\mathbb{C}$-vector bundle $(\mathcal{O}_\Omega)^{\oplus p}$ of rank p. The Nakano curvature of $\mathcal{K}$ is given by

$$\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le p, 1\le \lambda\le n} \mapsto \sum_{1\le j,k\le p, 1\le \lambda,\nu\le n} \Theta_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} v^{j\lambda} \overline{v^{k\nu}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} |g_j|^2\right)^3} \left| \sum_{j,\ell=1}^{p} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} \overline{g_\ell}\, (g_\ell(\partial_\lambda g_j) - g_j(\partial_\lambda g_\ell))\, v^{j\lambda} \right|^2$$

for $\left(v^{j\lambda}\right)_{1\le j\le p, 1\le \lambda\le n}$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{p} g_j v^{j\lambda} = 0$ for $1 \le \lambda \le n$.

(7.5) *Proof.* Proposition(3.2) is the special case where $g_1 = \cdots = g_{p-1} = 0$ and $g_p = 1$ at the point under consideration. To prove Proposition(7.4), we can argue as follows by applying a (constant) unitary transformation U of order p to the p-tuple of holomorphic functions $(g_1, \cdots, g_p)$.

Let $(\tilde{g}_1, \cdots, \tilde{g}_p)$ be the image of $(g_1, \cdots, g_p)$ under the (constant) unitary transformation U of order p. For fixed $1 \leq \lambda \leq n$ let $\left(\tilde{v}^{1\lambda}, \cdots, \tilde{v}^{p\lambda}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ be the image of $\left(v^{1\lambda}, \cdots, v^{p\lambda}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ under the complex conjugate $\bar{U}$ of U so that $\sum_{j=1}^p \tilde{w}_j \tilde{v}^{j\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^p w_j v^{j\lambda}$ for any $(w_1, \cdots, w_p) \in \mathbb{C}$ whose image under U is $(\tilde{w}_1, \cdots, \tilde{w}_p)$, because the image of $\left(\overline{v^{1\lambda}}, \cdots, \overline{v^{p\lambda}}\right)$ under U is $\left(\overline{\tilde{v}^{1\lambda}}, \cdots, \overline{\tilde{v}^{p\lambda}}\right)$. Hence for fixed $1 \leq \lambda \leq n$ we have

$$\sum_{j,\ell=1}^p \overline{g_\ell} \left(g_\ell(\partial_\lambda g_j) - g_j(\partial_\lambda g_\ell)\right) v^{j\lambda} = \sum_{j,\ell=1}^p \overline{\tilde{g}_\ell} \left(\tilde{g}_\ell(\partial_\lambda \tilde{g}_j) - \tilde{g}_j(\partial_\lambda \tilde{g}_\ell)\right) \tilde{v}^{j\lambda}$$

and summation over $1 \leq \lambda \leq n$ yields

$$\sum_{j,\ell=1}^p \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \overline{g_\ell} \left(g_\ell(\partial_\lambda g_j) - g_j(\partial_\lambda g_\ell)\right) v^{j\lambda} = \sum_{j,\ell=1}^p \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \overline{\tilde{g}_\ell} \left(\tilde{g}_\ell(\partial_\lambda \tilde{g}_j) - \tilde{g}_j(\partial_\lambda \tilde{g}_\ell)\right) \tilde{v}^{j\lambda}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^p |g_j|^2\right)^3} \left| \sum_{j,\ell=1}^p \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \overline{g_\ell} \left(g_\ell(\partial_\lambda g_j) - g_j(\partial_\lambda g_\ell)\right) v^{j\lambda} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^p |\tilde{g}_j|^2\right)^3} \left| \sum_{j,\ell=1}^p \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \overline{\tilde{g}_\ell} \left(\tilde{g}_\ell(\partial_\lambda \tilde{g}_j) - \tilde{g}_j(\partial_\lambda \tilde{g}_\ell)\right) \tilde{v}^{j\lambda} \right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\Theta}_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}}$ be the curvature tensor of the kernel vector subbundle when $(g_1, \cdots, g_p)$ is replaced by its image $(\tilde{g}_1, \cdots, \tilde{g}_p)$ under U. Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq p, 1 \leq \lambda, \nu \leq n} \Theta_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} v^{j\lambda} \overline{v^{k\nu}} = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq p, 1 \leq \lambda, \nu \leq n} \tilde{\Theta}_{j\bar{k}\lambda\bar{\nu}} \tilde{v}_{j\lambda} \overline{\tilde{v}^{k\nu}}$$

for $\left(v^{k\lambda}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq p,\, 1 \leq \lambda \leq n}$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^p g_j v^{j\lambda} = 0$ for $1 \leq \lambda \leq n$. Hence at any prescribed point P (where $g_1(P), \cdot, g_p(P)$ are not all zero) we can choose a (constant) unitary transformation U of order p so that the image $(\tilde{g}_1(P), \cdots, \tilde{g}_p(P))$ of $(g_1(P), \cdots, g_p(P))$ satisfies the condition that $\tilde{g}_j(P) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ and we can obtain the general case from the special case of $g_1(P) = \cdots = g_{p-1}(P) = 0$ and $g_p(P) = 1$. Q.E.D.

(7.6) *Remark.* The arguments with inequalities centered around p.552 of [Skoda1972] correspond to (3.4.3) when the formula in Proposition(7.4) is used.

References

[Nakano1955] Shigeo Nakano, On complex analytic vector bundles. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **7** (1955), 1 – 12.

[Skoda1972] Henri Skoda, Application des techniques L^2 à la théorie des idéaux d'une algèbre de fonctions holomorphes avec poids. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **5** (1972), 545 – 579.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02493, U.S.A.